

## SCOTUS

- [Tribal Supreme Court Project – Case Updates October 21, 2014](#)
- [Tribal Supreme Court Project - Case Updates September 24, 2014](#)
- [Tribal Supreme Court Project - Case Updates July 9, 2014](#)
- [Tribal Supreme Court Project Memo May 21, 2014](#)
- [Tribal Supreme Court Project Workgroup - Still No Opinion in Bay Mills 4.29.2014](#)
- [Update: Tribal Supreme Court Project Workgroup - No Opinion in Bay Mills](#)
- [Bond Treaty Power Case in Supreme Court: This case is nearly as dangerous as \*Bay Mills\*, but has flown under the radar because it does not involve an Indian Tribe.](#)

In early November, Paul Clement argued a case before the Supreme Court challenging the Treaty power of the United States. This is the second time the case, *Bond v. United States*, has come to the Supreme Court. The case involves the federal prosecution of Carol Ann Bond under the Chemical Weapons Convention for trying to poison her husband's lover using a mix of toxic chemicals. Paul Clement is seeking to overturn her conviction by arguing that the Treaty is unconstitutional because it violates States' rights to prosecute simple assault cases. In doing so, he is seeking to overturn *Missouri v. Holland*, a 93-year old case holding that Treaties (and the laws enacted to implement them) trump States' rights. One of the main issues in the case is whether laws enacted to implement a treaty may be upheld even if they would trump States' rights or otherwise be unconstitutional. This case may have significant implications for statutes enacted to implement treaties between the U.S. and Indian Tribes. This case could come down the same day as the *Bay Mills* decision.
- [Tribal Supreme Court Project - Case Updates 03.10.2014](#)
- [Tribal Supreme Court Project - Memo: Case Updates - 01.06.14](#)